Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 96 (1975) C11-C12 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne - Printed in'The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

REACTIONS OF POLYNUCLEAR MANGANESE AND IRON CARBONYL COMPLEXES WITH ORGANOISOCYANIDES

S. GRANT, J. NEWMAN and A.R. MANNING* Department of Chemistry, University College, Belfield, Dublin 4 (Ireland) (Received June 25th, 1975)

Summary

The preparations of $(\text{RNC}_n \text{Mn}_2 (\text{CO})_{10-n} (\text{R} = \text{Me or t-Bu}; n = 1-4) \{(\text{PhO}_3P\}_2 - (\text{t-BuNC})\text{Mn}_2 (\text{CO})_7, \text{and } (\text{RNC})_m \text{Fe}_3 (\text{CO})_{12-m} (\text{R} = \text{Me or t-Bu}; m = 1-3) \text{ are reported}; \text{many of these complexes exist as mixtures of isomers in solution and appear to be fluxional.}$

In continuation of our study of the reactions of polynuclear metal carbonyls with isocyanides [1], we describe here the products obtained from MeNC or t-BuNC, and $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$, {(PhO)₃P}₂ $Mn_2(CO)_8$, or Fe₃(CO)₁₂.

Triiron dodecarbonyl undergoes CO substitution isocyanides in hexane at room temperature to form the known (RNC)Fe(CO)₄ [2] as well as (RNC)_m -Fe₃(CO)_{12-m} (m = 1 or 2 when R = Me, and m = 1, 2 or 3 when R = t-Bu) and a red unidentified product. Attempts to obtain compounds where m is larger than 2 (R = Me) or 3 (R = t-Bu) resulted in breakdown of the cluster.

By contrast, the reactions of RNC with $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ or $\{(PhO)_3 P\}_2 Mn_2(CO)_8$ required UV irradiation or refluxing toluene for their completion. The products included $(RNC)_n Mn_2(CO)_{10-n}$ (n = 1-4 when R = Me or n = 1-3 when R = t-Bu), and $\{(PhO)_3 P\}_2$ (t-BuNC) $Mn_2(CO)_7$. Related complexes which have been reported previously are $(PhNC)_x Mn_2(CO)_{10-x}$ (x = 1 or 2) [3] and $(MeNC)_3 Mn_2(CO)_7$ [4].

The IR spectra of solutions of these compounds show more absorption bands due to $\nu(CO)$ and $\nu(CN)$ vibrations than expected, and many are asymmetric e.g. for (t-BuNC)₂Fe₃(CO)₁₀ in hexane $\nu(CO)_{\mu} = 1793(\text{sh})$, 1800(0.7), 1841(0.2; $\nu(CO) = 1979(\text{sh})$, 1982(3.3), 1990(sh), 2007(8.9), 2012(10), 2014(sh), 2024(4.4), 2056(1.7); $\nu(CN) = 2141(1.1)$, 2151(sh), 2167(sh) cm⁻¹, and for (MeNC)Mn₂-(CO)₉ in hexane $\nu(CO) = 1962(3.5)$, 1972(2.8), 1982(1.6), 1998(10, asymm.), 2009(3.9), 2028(6.8), 2087(sh), 2090(1.5); $\nu(CN) = 2.69(\text{sh})$, 2184(0.6) cm⁻¹. Absorption bands due to $\nu(CO)$ are only weak in the spectra of the Fe₃(CO)₁₂ derivatives, and are not observed for the manganese compounds. There is no evidence for bridging isocyanide ligands in either series. It is probable that in

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

C12

many instances mixtures of isomers are present and that the molecules are fluxional. It is consistent with this supposition that the proton NMR spectrum of $(MeNC)_2Fe_3(CO)_{10}$ shows only a single resonance at room temperature. Thus the complexes resemble $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ [5], and $(MeNC)_3Mn_2(CO)_7$ [4] in their behaviour. This is being further investigated.

References

1 J. Newman and A.R. Manning, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton, (1974) 2549.

- 2 F.A. Cotton and F. Zingales, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 351 and ref. therein.
- 3 K.K. Joshi, P.L. Pauson and W.H. Stubbs, J. Organometal. Chem., 1 (1963) 51.

4 R.D. Adams and D.F. Chodosh, J. Organometal. Chem., 87 (1975) C48.

5 F.A. Cotton and D.L. Hunter, Inorg. Chim.Acta, 11 (1974) L9.